MODULE 6
QA AND CONTINUOUS IMPROVEMENT

PART 6.2 QA METHODS FOR MICROCREENTIALS

Block.ed E-learning Course

Author: DIE

Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of European Union

Co-Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them. Project No: 2024-1-SK01-KA220-ADU-000253202

Description
The **second part of Module 2** provides a practical introduction to quality assurance methods across the full lifecycle of a microcredential — from design and delivery to evaluation and review. Participants will learn how to apply QA principles in practice in order to ensure that microcredentials remain transparent, trustworthy, and valuable.

Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of European Union

Co-Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.
Project No: 2024-1-SK01-KA220-ADU-000253202

Table of contents

Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of European Union

Co-Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.
Project No: 2024-1-SK01-KA220-ADU-000253202

1. Welcome Back!

Now that you've explored in the first part of the module why quality assurance (QA) and continuous improvement (CI) are crucial for microcredentials, it's time to turn to how quality is assured in practice. This module takes a closer look at QA methods and tools, covering the full lifecycle of a microcredential — from its design and delivery to evaluation and review.

In this part of the course, you will learn how to apply QA principles practically and explore how existing frameworks help ensure that microcredentials remain valuable, transparent, and trustworthy.

2. First comes the Plan …

"Go ahead and make a plan, show everyone how bright you are!
Then make another — and watch both fall apart."
(Bertolt Brecht, Threepenny Opera)

Brecht mocks the illusion of perfect planning, and he has certainly a point there — but when it comes to quality assurance, a well-structured plan still matters. It helps align teams, document expectations, and lay the foundation for continuous improvement. A basic Quality Assurance Plan for microcredentials should include at least the following elements:

- Clear **objectives and scope** of the QA process
- **Roles and responsibilities** across stakeholders
- **QA measures** across the lifecycle (design, implementation, review)
- **Performance indicators** and **success metrics**
- **Data collection methods** and **feedback loops**
- **Timeline** and review cycles

To avoid treating QA as a one-time event, it's helpful to embed it within a continuous improvement cycle — the well-known PDCA model:

Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of European Union

Co-Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.
Project No: 2024-1-SK01-KA220-ADU-000253202

- **Plan** – Define objectives and design processes for quality assurance
- **Do** – Implement the processes, deliver the credential
- **Check** – Evaluate data, outcomes, and feedback
- **Act** – Use insights to make improvements and adjust the next iteration

This model keeps QA dynamic and aligned with changing needs, whether from learners, employers, or external frameworks.

Tools and Resources

Here are two helpful guides to get started with building a quality plan or improvement process:

- **The Neuron: How to Write a Quality Assurance Plan**: A Step-by-step-Guide: https://www.theneuron.ai/write/plans/quality-assurance-plan
- **indeed: Quality Continuous Improvement Procedures**: includes examples and templates: https://www.indeed.com/career-advice/career-development/continuous-improvement-examples

3. What Makes QA for Microcredentials Different?

While QA planning models are widely applicable, microcredentials have their own specific characteristics that should inform your approach. Microcredentials operate on a smaller, faster, and often more flexible scale than traditional qualifications. This means:

- QA processes need to be lean, adaptable, and efficient
- The focus is on learning outcomes and their real-world applicability
- The diverse provider landscape (from universities to EdTech startups) requires tools that can be scaled and customized

Unlike full degree programs, there isn't always time for extensive QA cycles. But that doesn't mean quality should be compromised. Instead, QA must be embedded into every stage of development, guided by fit-for-purpose methods. That's why in the next chapters, we'll walk through concrete QA measures tailored specifically to the microcredential format.

Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of European Union

Co-Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.
Project No: 2024-1-SK01-KA220-ADU-000253202

4. QA Across the Microcredential Lifecycle

The quality assurance (QA) of microcredentials is most effective when understood as a continuous process that spans the entire credential lifecycle. This lifecycle can be broadly divided into three key phases: **Design**, **Implementation**, and **Review**. Each phase involves specific QA measures that support the development of high-quality, transparent, and trusted microcredentials.

The table below provides an overview of the most relevant QA focus areas and key measures within each phase:

Lifecycle Phase	QA Focus Area / Measure	Purpose / Core Focus
Design	Stakeholder Involvement	Co-design outcomes with employers, learners, and educators
	Needs Analysis	Use labour market and learner data to ensure relevance
	Alignment with Frameworks	Align learning outcomes with NQF/EQF levels or institutional standards
	Assessment Planning	Design transparent, outcome-based assessment methods
	Documentation	Prepare course outlines, QA checklists, and metadata
Implementation	Instructor Qualifications	Ensure trained, competent facilitators
	Delivery Consistency	Use delivery protocols and teaching guides
	Learner Support	Provide tech help, tutoring, and accessible resources
	Monitoring Tools	Track learner progress and engagement in real time
Review	Feedback Collection	Gather feedback from learners, instructors, and peers
	External Review	Use independent QA review to ensure quality and credibility
	Performance Metrics	Analyze success rates, skills achievement, and post-course outcomes
	Improvement Planning	Close the loop through structured follow-up and course adjustments

Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of European Union

Co-Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them. Project No: 2024-1-SK01-KA220-ADU-000253202

For reflection

Before we have a closer look at each of these measures, take a moment to reflect: Which of these measures are already being implemented at your institution? How are they being applied in practice — what approaches, tools, or routines are used?

Let's now move to the have a closer look at each QA measure.

Design Phase

The Design Phase lays the foundation for high-quality microcredentials. It focuses on aligning the learning experience with real-world needs, regulatory frameworks, and clear learning outcomes. In this phase, collaboration with key stakeholders such as employers, learners, and educators is essential. A robust needs analysis, transparent assessment planning, and structured documentation help ensure that the microcredential is both relevant and credible from the outset.

1. Stakeholder Involvement

Why it matters

- Involving a variety of stakeholders in the design will help you make your microcredential more relevant and fit actual needs.

How it can be implemented

- **Identify key stakeholder groups early**: Include employers, learners, educators, industry bodies, and where relevant, professional associations and policymakers.
- **Engage through structured formats**: Use interviews, focus groups, co-design workshops, or surveys to collect meaningful input on skills needs, learning outcomes, and assessment formats.
- **Define roles and expectations clearly**: Clarify who contributes to which part of the design process (e.g., employers shape competencies, educators design pedagogy), and set timelines and communication protocols.
- **Ensure representation and diversity**: Involve stakeholders from different sectors, company sizes, learner backgrounds, and levels of experience to reflect the breadth of real-world needs.

Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of European Union

Co-Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.
Project No: 2024-1-SK01-KA220-ADU-000253202

- **Document the engagement process**: Keep transparent records of how stakeholder input influenced the design. This supports credibility and future refinement.
- **Use iterative feedback loops**: Revisit stakeholder input at key milestones—prototype review, assessment planning, and pilot testing—to validate the evolving design.
- **Integrate feedback into decisions**: Don't just collect input—demonstrate how feedback shapes content, delivery, and outcomes. Communicate this back to participants.
- **Foster long-term collaboration**: Build lasting relationships with stakeholders through advisory boards, alumni panels, or employer partnerships to ensure continued relevance and impact.
- **Align stakeholder input with frameworks**: Cross-reference suggestions from employers and learners with formal qualifications frameworks to maintain both relevance and recognizability.

Tools and Resources

- **The role of engaging stakeholders and of quality assurance for delivering on the potential of micro-credentials.** Synthesis report from a Peer Learning Activity 21-22 May 2025. https://op.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/11c37d23-a32f-11f0-97c8-01aa75ed71a1/language-en

2. Needs Analysis

Why it matters:

- A well-executed needs analysis ensures that a microcredential responds to actual skill gaps in the labor market and aligns with learner and employer demands. It forms the evidence base for defining relevant outcomes, formats, and delivery methods.

How it can be implemented

- **Use mixed methods**: Combine desk research (e.g. labor market reports, ESCO, OECD, Cedefop databases) with primary research (e.g. surveys, interviews with local employers, alumni, and learners).
- **Engage local and sector-specific data**: Go beyond national data by sourcing regional employment trends and industry-specific skills forecasts to tailor the offer.
- **Consult multiple stakeholder types**: Include employers, employees, learners, and academic staff to capture different perspectives on current and emerging needs.

Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of European Union

Co-Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them. Project No: 2024-1-SK01-KA220-ADU-000253202

- **Apply structured tools and templates**: Use standardized needs analysis templates (e.g., MS Forms survey frameworks, DigitalPromise guide) to collect and compare input systematically.
- **Segment by learner profile**: Identify differing needs based on audience groups (e.g. upskilling vs. reskilling, entry-level vs. advanced learners).
- **Map findings to competencies**: Translate data into clear, measurable competencies that reflect both employer language and qualifications frameworks.
- **Revisit and update regularly**: Conduct the analysis annually or bi-annually to stay ahead of market shifts and maintain relevance.
- **Triangulate sources**: Validate your findings by comparing trends across different data sets (e.g., employer interviews vs. job ad analytics vs. alumni feedback).
- **Document the rationale**: Keep clear records showing how the findings influenced design decisions—this supports transparency, funding applications, and accreditation.

Tools and Resources

- **Case Study/Practice** Example:-Read how NTUTORR (Ireland) conducted a comprehensive needs analysis across the Irish Institutes of Technology sector using literature review and MS Forms surveys; the outcome guided the selection of seven relevant microcredential themes: https://www.transforminglearning.ie/resources-publications/unlocking-pathways-2/
- **Tools/Templates**: Use the AIHR's practical Guide to Conducting a Training Needs Analysis. Includes a free downloadable Excel Template. https://www.aihr.com/blog/training-needs-analysis/

3. Alignment with Frameworks

Why it matters

- Positioning your microcredential at a recognised level within formal frameworks (e.g., EQF, NQF, Europass) will enable clarity, recognition, and comparability across institutions and borders

How it can be implemented

- **Map learning outcomes to framework levels**: Identify the relevant national or international qualifications framework (e.g. EQF, NQF) and match your microcredential's learning outcomes to the appropriate level descriptors (e.g. complexity, autonomy, knowledge, and skills).
- **Use level descriptors as scaffolding**: Use the language and criteria of the framework (e.g. EQF Level 5: "comprehensive, specialised

Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of European Union

Co-Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them. Project No: 2024-1-SK01-KA220-ADU-000253202

knowledge") to write or refine outcomes, ensuring clarity and comparability.

- **Consult official classification tools**: Leverage published guidelines, taxonomies (e.g., SCQF Level Descriptors), and sector-specific referencing documents to support accurate alignment.
- **Ensure credit portability**: Where applicable, express workload in ECTS or equivalent credit units to enable stackability and formal recognition within broader qualifications systems.
- **Validate with external reviewers**: Involve QA experts or framework authorities to check whether outcomes and assessment expectations are properly aligned to the targeted level.
- **Document alignment decisions**: Maintain clear internal records showing how outcomes and assessment tasks map to framework levels—this supports future audits, reviews, and recognition.
- **Align across all components**: Ensure that teaching activities, learning outcomes, assessment types, and workload expectations are coherently aligned with the same qualification level.
- **Consider cross-border relevance**: For international learners or institutions, map the microcredential to multiple frameworks (e.g., both EQF and national NQF) to enhance recognition and mobility.

Tools and Resources

- **Case Study/Practice Example**:-Read how a Polish VET initiative that mapped informal skill gains to EQF levels, embedding them into national VET accreditation processes: https://www.paradigmpress.org/rae/article/view/1624 (Vuković & Álvarez 2025)

Why Frameworks Matter

Frameworks are not just about compliance – they create a **common language**. By aligning your microcredential with these structures, you support recognition, stackability, and learner mobility.

Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of European Union

Co-Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them. Project No: 2024-1-SK01-KA220-ADU-000253202

4. Assessment Planning

Why it matters

- Effective assessment planning ensures that learners are evaluated fairly, consistently, and in alignment with the competencies the microcredential aims to develop. It builds trust, supports learning, and provides credible evidence of achievement for employers and other stakeholders.

How it can be implemented

- **Start with outcomes**: Identify 2–4 core competencies that learners must demonstrate. Design assessments that align directly with these outcomes.
- **Design authentic tasks**: Use real-world, competency-driven formats such as portfolios, simulations, case studies, or performance tasks that reflect actual workplace or disciplinary demands.
- **Plan backward**: Define required learner artifacts early, develop rubrics accordingly, and design formative practice opportunities that build up to summative assessments.
- **Use transparent, competency-based rubrics**: Rubrics should clearly define performance levels, align with learning outcomes, and be appropriate to the delivery mode (e.g., online, in-person, hybrid).
- **Publish criteria in advance**: Make rubrics, scoring guides, and task instructions available to learners before the course starts, so expectations are clear and transparent.
- **Incorporate formative & summative assessment**: Provide structured, low-stakes feedback opportunities throughout the course before final summative evaluations to build learner confidence and competence.
- **Ensure reliability & validity**: Train and calibrate assessors using standardized procedures (e.g., BloomBoard-style certification) to promote fairness, consistency, and credibility in grading.
- **Embed fairness & accessibility**: Ensure accommodations for diverse learner needs, apply academic integrity checks, and offer flexible modalities (e.g., video, written, oral, observed performance) to ensure inclusive assessment.

Tools and Resources

- **VDC Guide Developing Effective Competeny-Based Assessment Tools**: Guide developed by VET Development Centre, Victoria, Australia. Includes task definitions, evidence requirements, decision rules, and administration protocols: https://online.fliphtml5.com/wwpik/zfya/#p=1

Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of European Union

Co-Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.
Project No: 2024-1-SK01-KA220-ADU-000253202

5. Documentation

Why it matters

- Comprehensive and standardized documentation ensures transparency, quality assurance, and portability of microcredentials. It allows both internal teams and external stakeholders—such as learners, accreditors, or employers—to clearly understand the scope, structure, and rigor of the learning offer.

How it can be implemented

- **Create clear and concise course outlines**: Include learning outcomes, workload, delivery format, assessment types, and prerequisite knowledge. Use a standardized structure for consistency across offerings.
- **Use QA checklists during development**: Develop or adopt a quality checklist (e.g., for alignment, accessibility, content integrity) to guide course creators through the design and review process.
- **Define learning metadata**: Record key descriptors such as EQF/NQF level, ECTS credits (if applicable), assessment type, credential issuer, and delivery mode to support digital discoverability and recognition.
- **Enable interoperability**: Structure metadata in line with standards such as the Europass Digital Credentials Infrastructure (EDCI) or Credential Transparency Description Language (CTDL) to ensure compatibility with credentialing platforms.
- **Version control your documentation**: Clearly label drafts, updates, and final versions of all course materials to ensure traceability, especially in collaborative or multi-institutional projects.
- **Publish key documents openly**: Make selected information—such as course outlines and learning outcomes—available to learners and external stakeholders via your website or credential repository.
- **Use templates and shared repositories**: Adopt institutional or sector-wide templates (e.g., from national microcredential pilots) to streamline development and review, especially when collaborating across teams.
- **Document decision-making processes**: Keep internal notes on why specific pedagogical or assessment choices were made. This supports audits, reviews, and future improvements.
- **Prepare for stackability and recognition**: Clearly indicate how a microcredential fits into broader learning pathways (e.g., toward a degree or qualification) and what credit or exemptions it may grant.

Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of European Union

Co-Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.
Project No: 2024-1-SK01-KA220-ADU-000253202

Tools and Resources

- Guide for organisations on creating Open Badges for Adult education https://www.open-badges.eu/sites/default/files/attachements/open_badges_-_guide_for_organisations_en.pdf

Microcredential Quality Checklists Examples

- **European Training Foundation (ETF):** https://openspace.etf.europa.eu/content/micro-credential-design-checklist
- **Teachonline.Ca: The 10-Question Micro-Credential Checklist:** https://teachonline.ca/tools-trends/10-question-micro-credential-checklist
- **eCampusOntario: Microcredential Quality Checklist**: The detailed list covers documentation standards, metadata completeness, QA processes and delivery reviews: https://ecampusontario.pressbooks.pub/microcredentialtoolkit/chapter/micro-credential-quality-checklist/

Implementation "Phase

During the Implementation Phase, the focus shifts to delivering the microcredential effectively. This includes ensuring that instructors are well-qualified and prepared, providing consistent and engaging delivery, and offering comprehensive learner support. Monitoring tools play a crucial role in tracking progress and identifying issues in real time, helping providers maintain a high level of instructional quality and learner satisfaction.

6. Instructor Qualifications

Why it matters

- Instructor quality directly impacts learner success, engagement, and credibility of a microcredential. Instructors must not only have subject matter expertise but also the pedagogical and digital competencies to facilitate flexible, learner-centered environments effectively.

How it can be implemented

- **Define minimum qualification standards**: Clearly specify academic, industry, or teaching credentials required for instructors—e.g., relevant degree, professional certification, or sector experience.

Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of European Union

Co-Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them. Project No: 2024-1-SK01-KA220-ADU-000253202

- **Prioritize both expertise and teaching ability**: Ensure instructors possess strong communication, feedback, and assessment skills, especially for competency-based and online formats.
- **Offer pedagogical training**: Provide onboarding and continuing development in instructional design, online facilitation, assessment literacy, and learner support strategies.
- **Certify teaching competence**: Use structured teaching certification (e.g., national teaching qualifications, BloomBoard, DigiCompEdu) to verify readiness and maintain consistency.
- **Provide microcredential-specific orientation**: Train instructors on the unique expectations, outcomes, and structure of microcredentials—especially around short-form, outcome-driven learning.
- **Foster peer learning and reflection**: Encourage communities of practice or instructor forums for sharing experiences, challenges, and innovations in microcredential delivery.
- **Monitor and review instructor performance**: Collect learner feedback and conduct periodic teaching observations to support continuous improvement and identify development needs.
- **Support digital literacy and tool proficiency**: Ensure instructors are confident in using the institution's LMS, video conferencing, assessment platforms, and learner analytics tools.
- **Promote co-facilitation or team teaching**: Where possible, combine domain experts with trained educators or learning designers to deliver high-quality, engaging instruction.

Tools and Resources

- **Academic Leader: 12 Tips for Improving Your Faculty Development Plan**: https://www.downstate.edu/education-training/fellowship-residency-programs/otolaryngology/_documents/faculty-development/12-tips-for-improving-your-faculty-development-plan.pdf
- **FacultyFocus: Checklist for Effective Faculty Development Programs:** https://www.facultyfocus.com/articles/faculty-development/a-checklist-for-effective-faculty-development-programs/

Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of European Union

Co-Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.
Project No: 2024-1-SK01-KA220-ADU-000253202

7. Delivery Consistency

Why it matters

- Consistent delivery ensures that all learners—regardless of instructor, cohort, or delivery mode—receive a high-quality, equitable learning experience. It safeguards the credibility of the credential and enables fair assessment, meaningful feedback, and learner trust

How it can be implemented

- **Standardize key teaching materials**: Provide structured teaching guides, slide decks, and facilitator notes to ensure consistent delivery across instructors and sessions.
- **Use delivery protocols**: Define clear standards for pacing, session structure, feedback timing, and use of digital tools to promote uniformity in learner experience.
- **Align delivery with outcomes**: Ensure that the content and activities delivered directly support the intended learning outcomes and assessment requirements.
- **Train all instructors on delivery standards**: Conduct onboarding and refresher sessions to communicate expectations and good practices, especially for online or hybrid formats.
- **Use a shared digital infrastructure**: Host all materials, discussion boards, and assessments on a centralized learning management system (LMS) to reduce variation and increase access.
- **Embed quality control checkpoints**: Assign instructional designers or peer reviewers to observe selected sessions or review digital course elements periodically.
- **Collect learner feedback on delivery**: Use mid-course surveys to detect delivery inconsistencies and address them promptly.
- **Maintain a delivery handbook**: Develop a practical reference document for instructors with timelines, delivery tips, platform usage, troubleshooting, and escalation pathways.

Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of European Union

Co-Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.
Project No: 2024-1-SK01-KA220-ADU-000253202

8. Learner Support

Why it matters

- Robust learner support is essential to ensure that diverse participants can successfully engage with and complete a microcredential. It promotes equity, retention, and satisfaction—especially in flexible or digital learning environments where learners may face technical, academic, or motivational challenges.

How it can be implemented

- **Offer orientation at the start**: Provide onboarding modules, welcome sessions, or user guides to help learners navigate platforms, understand expectations, and access resources.
- **Ensure access to academic support**: Offer tutoring, peer mentoring, writing assistance, or subject-specific consultations—especially for learners unfamiliar with self-paced or online formats.
- **Provide responsive technical help**: Maintain an easily accessible helpdesk or support portal for resolving platform issues, login problems, or digital tool questions quickly.
- **Use a central learner hub**: Create a central online space (e.g. within the LMS) where all key information, FAQs, contact points, and support resources are easily available.
- **Embed regular check-ins**: Include scheduled communications (emails, messages, office hours) to support learner motivation and identify issues early.
- **Support accessibility and inclusion**: Ensure all learning materials and systems meet accessibility standards (e.g. WCAG 2.1), and offer accommodations for learners with disabilities or other needs.
- **Assign a named contact or facilitator**: Give each learner access to a support person (tutor, coach, advisor) they can contact for academic or pastoral support.
- **Offer career and progression advice**: Provide optional sessions or links to career services to help learners understand how the microcredential fits into broader learning or employment pathways.
- **Gather feedback on support effectiveness**: Use surveys or short polls to find out whether learners feel adequately supported—and use this data to make timely improvements.

Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of European Union

Co-Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.
Project No: 2024-1-SK01-KA220-ADU-000253202

Tools and Resources

- Digital Promise: H**ow to Support Learners to be Successful in Earning Micro-credentials.** Blog with tips and suggestions. https://digitalpromise.org/2023/10/25/how-to-support-learners-to-be-successful-in-earning-micro-credentials/

9 Monitoring Tools

Why it matters

- Monitoring tools enable real-time insight into learner engagement, progress, and performance. They support early intervention, improve course design over time, and provide evidence for quality assurance and accountability—especially important in flexible, digital-first formats.

How it can be implemented

- **Track learner progress continuously**: Use LMS analytics, progress dashboards, or custom tracking sheets to monitor attendance, submissions, and completion rates across activities.
- **Visualize engagement patterns**: Leverage heatmaps, login frequency graphs, or time-on-task metrics to identify learners who may be at risk of dropping out or falling behind.
- **Set up early-warning systems**: Automate alerts (e.g. low quiz scores, inactivity) to trigger timely support from instructors or tutors.
- **Use formative checkpoints as monitoring tools**: Design short quizzes, reflection prompts, or polls throughout the course—not just for assessment, but also to gauge comprehension and engagement.
- **Integrate data from multiple sources**: Combine platform usage, assessment data, and learner feedback to gain a holistic view of learner performance and satisfaction.
- **Provide instructors with monitoring dashboards**: Equip teaching staff with real-time analytics tools so they can act quickly on learner needs and engagement trends.
- **Protect privacy and data ethics**: Ensure that monitoring practices comply with GDPR or national data protection laws; be transparent with learners about what is tracked and why.
- **Review group-level trends**: Analyze aggregated data to improve course content, pacing, or structure for future cohorts—beyond individual interventions.

Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of European Union

Co-Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them. Project No: 2024-1-SK01-KA220-ADU-000253202

- **Support learner self-monitoring**: Offer learners their own dashboards or progress bars so they can track milestones, performance, and pacing independently.
- **Include qualitative feedback loops**: Combine data with human insight—e.g., quick check-in messages, optional 1:1 meetings, or open discussion forums—to better understand learner experience.

Tools and Resources

- **OpenLAIR – Open Learning Analysis Indicator Repository**. a learning analytics tool that helps course designers, teachers, students and educational researchers to make informed decisions about the selection of learning activities and LA indicators for their course design or LA dashboard: https://www.dipf.de/en/infrastructures/online-services/openlair-open-learning-analysis-indicator-repository
- **Case Study**: Johnston, L. et al. (2024). "Uncovering Student Engagement Patterns in Moodle with Interpretable Machine Learning". (At University College London, researchers analyzed weekly Moodle log clicks to predict at-risk learners early in computing modules. The insights helped instructors provide proactive support). https://arxiv.org/abs/2412.11826

Review Phase

The Review Phase ensures continuous improvement by evaluating the microcredential's performance and impact. This includes collecting structured feedback from learners, involving external reviewers, and analyzing performance metrics such as completion and employment outcomes. Based on these insights, targeted improvement plans can be developed, making the microcredential more effective and sustainable over time.

10. Feedback Collection

Why it matters

- Systematic feedback collection helps providers understand how learners experience a microcredential—what works, what doesn't, and why. It is essential for continuous improvement, learner satisfaction, and accountability to stakeholders such as funders, employers, and accrediting bodies.

Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of European Union

Co-Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.
Project No: 2024-1-SK01-KA220-ADU-000253202

How it can be implemented

- **Collect feedback at multiple points**: Use a combination of mid-course and end-of-course surveys to capture both formative and summative feedback.
- **Diversify methods**: Combine quantitative surveys with qualitative methods like focus groups, open-ended questions, learner diaries, or informal check-ins to gain deeper insights.
- **Align questions with key quality areas**: Ask about content clarity, workload, pacing, support quality, relevance of tasks, and digital experience—not just satisfaction.
- **Use standardized and validated instruments**: Adopt or adapt existing feedback tools (e.g., SEEQ, Kirkpatrick-based models, or institutional quality forms) for comparability and reliability.
- **Ensure anonymity and low effort**: Make feedback easy to complete (mobile-friendly, short, clear) and allow learners to respond anonymously to encourage honesty.
- **Communicate the value of feedback**: Let learners know how their input will be used, and where possible, show them what has changed as a result ("you said—we did").
- **Include instructor and peer feedback**: Create space for instructor reflections and peer observations as part of the feedback loop—not just learner voices.
- **Integrate feedback into QA cycles**: Make feedback analysis a formal part of internal reviews and improvement planning. Share insights with curriculum teams and stakeholders.
- **Close the loop visibly**: Publish short summaries of key findings and planned actions so learners and stakeholders see that their feedback has impact.
- **Evaluate feedback quality**: Monitor response rates and data quality to improve the design of future instruments and identify blind spots.

Tools and Resources

- **Explorance: Course evaluation examples**: Templates and Questions: https://www.explorance.com/blog/course-evaluation-examples-templates-questions
- **Case Study:** Describes how the **Open University UK conducted narrative interviews with learners in microcredential courses** https://jime.open.ac.uk/articles/10.5334/jime.804

Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of European Union

Co-Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them. Project No: 2024-1-SK01-KA220-ADU-000253202

11. External Review

Why it matters

- External review introduces objectivity, credibility, and benchmarking into the quality assurance process. Independent experts or quality bodies can identify gaps, validate strengths, and ensure that microcredentials meet recognized standards—especially important for stackability, recognition, and trust among employers or institutions.

How it can be implemented

- **Select qualified external reviewers**: Involve peers from other institutions, QA professionals, industry experts, or accreditation bodies with relevant expertise in pedagogy, digital learning, or the subject matter.
- **Clarify the scope and purpose**: Define whether the review focuses on course content, assessment design, delivery quality, learner outcomes, or overall QA processes.
- **Use structured review criteria**: Develop or adopt an external review rubric aligned with internal QA frameworks or national/international standards (e.g., ESG, SCQF, MicroHE).
- **Prepare a complete review package**: Share all relevant materials (course outlines, rubrics, feedback data, metadata, learner artifacts, instructor credentials) to support informed judgment.
- **Combine desk-based and live components**: Supplement document reviews with observations of delivery, review of LMS environments, or interviews with instructors and learners.
- **Schedule regular reviews**: Conduct external reviews on a rotating basis—e.g., every two years or after major course revisions—to ensure ongoing alignment with current expectations.
- **Involve multiple perspectives**: Where possible, invite both academic and industry reviewers to ensure the microcredential is pedagogically sound and market-relevant.
- **Provide clear feedback channels**: Ensure that reviewers can submit both structured scores and open comments—and that course teams receive actionable summaries.
- **Act on findings transparently**: Integrate reviewer recommendations into improvement planning, and document what has changed based on the review.
- **Benchmark against peers**: Use findings to compare practices with other institutions or national benchmarks, helping to refine both content and processes.

Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of European Union

Co-Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.
Project No: 2024-1-SK01-KA220-ADU-000253202

12. Performance Metrics

Why it matters

- Performance metrics provide quantifiable evidence of a microcredential's effectiveness. They support quality improvement, accountability, and transparency—helping providers make informed decisions, demonstrate impact to stakeholders, and secure trust from learners, employers, and funders.

How it can be implemented

- **Define key metrics upfront**: Identify what matters most—e.g., enrollment numbers, completion rates, assessment results, learner satisfaction, or employment outcomes—and track them consistently.
- **Disaggregate data by learner groups**: Analyze outcomes by demographics (age, gender, prior education, employment status) to identify equity gaps and tailor support strategies.
- **Include short- and long-term outcomes**: Track immediate metrics (e.g. course completion, assessment pass rates) as well as longer-term indicators (e.g. job placement, further study, credential stacking).
- **Triangulate quantitative and qualitative data**: Combine numeric data with narrative insights from feedback, case studies, or interviews to better understand why outcomes occur.
- **Benchmark against internal and external standards**: Compare performance across cohorts, programs, or institutions to identify trends and validate impact.
- **Automate data collection where possible**: Use LMS exports, digital credential platforms, and surveys with built-in analytics to streamline reporting and reduce manual errors.
- **Visualize data for clarity**: Create dashboards or summary infographics that make metrics easy to interpret and share with stakeholders.
- **Align metrics with learning outcomes and QA goals**: Ensure indicators are not just administrative but reflect core educational goals—like skill mastery or real-world application.
- **Use metrics to drive improvement**: Integrate results into review and planning processes; set targets and measure progress over time.
- **Report transparently**: Share key performance indicators (KPIs) with learners, partners, and funders to build trust and demonstrate value

Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of European Union

Co-Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.
Project No: 2024-1-SK01-KA220-ADU-000253202

Tools and Resources

- QLIK **KPI Planning Guide:** provides examples, templates and practical advice to help define the right key performance indicators. https://www.qlik.com/us/kpi
- **Blog Micro-credentials in Higher Education: The Impact on Student Outcomes and Success**. provides tips for measuring the impact of microcredentials. https://darlohighereducation.com/micro-credentials-and-student-success-in-higher-education/
- **LX Studio Blog**: Is it Working? **How to Measure the Impact of Micro-Credentials in Your Organization**. https://blog.lxstudio.com/measuring-effectiveness-of-micro-credentials

13. Improvement Planning

Why it matters

- Improvement planning ensures that insights from feedback, performance data, and external reviews lead to concrete enhancements. It closes the quality loop, fosters accountability, and builds a culture of continuous improvement that strengthens learner outcomes and institutional credibility over time.

How it can be implemented

- **Make improvement planning a formal step**: Embed improvement planning into your regular QA cycle—not as an ad-hoc reaction, but as a standard follow-up after each delivery or review.
- **Use a structured action plan**: Document findings, proposed changes, responsible persons, timelines, and success indicators in a clear format (e.g. improvement log or QA tracker).
- **Prioritize changes by impact and feasibility**: Classify actions into short-, medium-, and long-term items based on available resources and expected benefit to learners.
- **Include all relevant stakeholders**: Involve instructors, curriculum designers, learner support staff, and where possible, learners and external partners in developing solutions.
- **Link back to evidence**: Ensure that planned changes are directly grounded in data—such as learner feedback, assessment results, or reviewer comments—not assumptions.

Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of European Union

Co-Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them. Project No: 2024-1-SK01-KA220-ADU-000253202

- **Monitor progress on actions**: Track the implementation of improvements over time, using regular team check-ins or project management tools to ensure accountability.
- **Document and archive previous cycles**: Keep a record of what was changed, why, and what the effects were—to build institutional memory and avoid repeated issues.
- **Communicate changes to learners and stakeholders**: Show how feedback or data have informed improvements—this builds trust and encourages future engagement.
- **Evaluate the impact of changes**: After implementing improvements, assess whether the desired outcomes were achieved (e.g., higher completion rates, fewer technical issues).
- **Foster a culture of experimentation**: Encourage teams to test new approaches, pilot innovations, and learn from both successes and failures in a structured way.

Tools and Resources

- **Digital Learning Edge: Continuous Improvement in Curriculum: Enhancing Online Learning Effectiveness**. Blog providing guidance and case studies. https://digitallearningedge.com/continuous-improvement-in-curriculum/

For reflection

Now that you gone through all these measures, think again of the practice in your institution: Are there areas where practice is informal, inconsistent, or missing? What would you like to improve or explore further?

7. Challenges and How to Tackle Them

As you've seen in the previous chapter, there are many QA measures to consider across the lifecycle of a microcredential. **But don't let the variety overwhelm you**: not every measure will be equally relevant in every context. The goal is not to tick every box, but to **focus on what creates the most value** for your learners, your institution, and your partners.

Implementing quality assurance in microcredentials brings clear benefits — but it also comes with practical challenges. These often stem from limited resources, the speed of development, and the evolving nature of the microcredential ecosystem.

Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of European Union

Co-Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them. Project No: 2024-1-SK01-KA220-ADU-000253202

Here are some common challenges and proven strategies to address them:

Challenge	How to Tackle It
Limited Resources	Prioritize high-impact QA activities. Start small and scale. Use templates and shared tools.
Fast-Paced Development	Apply agile QA methods such as design sprints, minimum viable products (MVPs), and rapid feedback loops.
Lack of Standards in Your Field	Align with existing frameworks (e.g. EQF, ESG, NQF). Document decisions clearly to ensure transparency.
Uncertainty to assess the value of specific QA tools	Reach out to peers, join practitioner networks, and explore case studies or pilots from similar institutions
Lack of institutional QA culture	Build awareness step-by-step through workshops, pilot projects, and celebrating small wins.
Low learner engagement in QA	Involve learners early and meaningfully — e.g. through co-design sessions, open feedback channels, or peer review.
Siloed development teams	Foster cross-functional collaboration — bring together QA experts, educators, and tech/support staff regularly.
Digital tools overload or fragmentation	Choose interoperable tools aligned with your LMS and QA framework. Start with one integrated platform if possible.
Mismatch between fast pilots and slow QA cycles	Embed lightweight QA checkpoints (e.g. short retros, micro-feedback) in fast development workflows.

Not every challenge can be solved at once — but naming them clearly is the first step toward resolving them strategically.

Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of European Union

Co-Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them. Project No: 2024-1-SK01-KA220-ADU-000253202

TIP: Getting Started: Small Steps, Smart Impact

If you're just starting to implement QA for microcredentials, consider these entry points:

- **Start with one phase** — e.g. focus first on improving the *design phase* (learning outcomes, stakeholder input).
- **Pick one measure** — such as *assessment quality* or *learner feedback* — and build a simple, repeatable routine around it.
- **Use existing tools** — don't reinvent the wheel. Templates, checklists, and shared QA frameworks can save time and effort.
- **Involve one partner** — co-develop one microcredential with an external stakeholder to embed quality from the ground up.
- You don't have to do everything at once — but doing something well can already set a strong foundation.

Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of European Union

Co-Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.
Project No: 2024-1-SK01-KA220-ADU-000253202

8. Conclusion and Key Takeaways

QA for microcredentials is not just about meeting standards. It's about delivering meaningful, verifiable, and recognized learning experiences. By using targeted methods and frameworks, educators and institutions can create microcredentials that are both agile and trustworthy.

Key Takeaways:

- **The microcredential lifecycle provides structure-** QA should be embedded across all three key phases: *Design*, *Implementation*, and *Review*. Each phase has distinct priorities, but they build on each other.
- **Frameworks and metadata boost visibility.** Align as much as ppossible with national or European qualification frameworks (e.g. EQF), and use clear metadata to improve transparency and recognition.
- **Continuous feedback** and **stakeholder involvement** are key.: Co-creation with employers, learners, and educators strengthens quality and increases acceptance of the credential.
- **QA doesn't have to be heavy or bureaucratic**. The key is to embed quality thinking early, apply it consistently, and adapt it to your context, to the specific scale and speed of your microcredentials.
- **Agility and reflection matter as much as structure.** QA is not just about checklists — it's about building a mindset of reflection, learning, and adaptation into every stage of microcredential design and deliver
- **Tools and collaboration help you move forward.** Don't reinvent the wheel. Use templates, peer networks, and shared practices to develop your QA approach step by step.

10. Final Reflection

Take a moment to reflect:

1. Which QA tools from this module would be most useful for your context?
2. How can you embed continuous improvement into your microcredential delivery?
3. What challenges do you foresee in applying these methods, and how might you overcome them

Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of European Union

Co-Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.
Project No: 2024-1-SK01-KA220-ADU-000253202


blockEd